



biblio.ugent.be

The UGent Institutional Repository is the electronic archiving and dissemination platform for all UGent research publications. Ghent University has implemented a mandate stipulating that all academic publications of UGent researchers should be deposited and archived in this repository. Except for items where current copyright restrictions apply, these papers are available in Open Access.

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Title: Discrete characterizations of wave front sets of Fourier-Lebesgue and quasianalytic type

Authors: Andreas Debrouwere and Jasson Vindas

In: Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 438 (2), 889-908, (2016)

To refer to or to cite this work, please use the citation to the published version:

A. Debrouwere, J. Vindas (2016). Discrete characterizations of wave front sets of Fourier-Lebesgue and quasianalytic type. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 438 (2), 889-908. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.02.034

DISCRETE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF WAVE FRONT SETS OF FOURIER-LEBESGUE AND QUASIANALYTIC TYPE

ANDREAS DEBROUWERE AND JASSON VINDAS

ABSTRACT. We obtain discrete characterizations of wave front sets of Fourier-Lebesgue and quasianalytic type. It is shown that the microlocal properties of an ultradistribution can be obtained by sampling the Fourier transforms of its localizations over a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d . In particular, we prove the following discrete characterization of the analytic wave front set of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d and let U be an open convex neighborhood of the origin such that $U \cap \Lambda^* = \{0\}$. The analytic wave front set $WF_A(f)$ coincides with the complement in $\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ of the set of points (x_0, ξ_0) for which there are an open neighborhood $V \subset \Omega \cap (x_0 + U)$ of x_0 , an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 , and a bounded sequence $(f_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega \cap (x_0 + U))$ with $f_p = f$ on V such that for some $h > 0$

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{f_p}(\mu)| |\mu|^p \leq h^{p+1} p!, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we provide discrete characterizations of wave front sets of various types. We shall show that the microlocal properties of (ultra)distributions are completely determined by the decay properties of the restrictions of Fourier transforms of their localizations to an arbitrary lattice in \mathbb{R}^d . To this end, we also study Fourier series expansions of ultradistributions. The paper refines and extends earlier results on *toroidal* wave front sets from [19, 30].

Wave front sets play a fundamental role in the analysis of propagation of singularities of solutions to partial differential equations. The classical wave front set, originally introduced by Hörmander [13], is defined with respect to C^∞ -smoothness, but this concept can be refined to include wave front sets with respect to other smoothness scales, such as Denjoy-Carleman classes and, in particular, analyticity [14, 18, 27]. More recently, wave front sets with respect to Fourier-Lebesgue spaces and other classes of Banach and Fréchet spaces have been introduced and studied in [6, 7, 24, 25]. All of these variants have been systematically applied to the study of regularity properties of various classes of pseudo-differential operators and semilinear equations. The notion of wave front set has also relevant applications in mathematical physics, see the expository article [5] for an overview.

The question of whether the wave front set of a distribution can be described in a discrete fashion goes back to Ruzhansky and Turunen [30]. Naturally, this is a very important question from a computational point of view. Their work is motivated by

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35A18, 42B05. Secondary 46F05.

Key words and phrases. Wave front sets; Fourier series; ultradifferentiable functions; quasianalytic classes; Fourier-Lebesgue spaces; ultradistributions.

A. Debrouwere gratefully acknowledges support by Ghent University, through a BOF Ph.D.-grant.

The work of J. Vindas was supported by Ghent University through the BOF-grant 01N01014.

the study of (global) quantization of periodic pseudo-differential operators through Fourier series [30, 31]. Denoting as $WF^{\mathbb{T}^d}(f)$ the (C^∞) toroidal wave front set of a distribution f on the d -dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^d , they have established the equality [30, Sect. 7]

$$(1.1) \quad WF^{\mathbb{T}^d}(f) = WF(f) \cap (\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d),$$

where $WF(f)$ stands for the classical Hörmander wave front set when regarding f as a distribution on \mathbb{R}^d . The latter equality further extends to Sobolev-type and Gevrey wave front sets, as recently shown in [8, 19]. It should also be mentioned that Rodino and Wahlberg [28] and Johansson et al. [15] have investigated discrete definitions of microregularity properties of distributions via Gabor frames.

Our goal here is to generalize (1.1) in several directions. On the one hand, we prove that the equality (1.1) remains valid for wave front sets of Fourier-Lebesgue and quasianalytic types. On the other hand, we replace \mathbb{Z}^d in (1.1) by an arbitrary lattice in \mathbb{R}^d . Since we will actually reformulate the equality (1.1) in slightly different terms, namely, in terms of discretized estimates for Fourier transforms, the arbitrariness of the lattice strengthens the potential computational content of the results.

We now briefly describe the content of the paper and state some samples of our results. We mention that we will work with both the Beurling-Björck [3] and the Komatsu [17] approach to the theory of ultradifferentiable functions and ultradistributions (see the preliminary Section 2 for the notation). It turns out that many of the arguments employed in the article depend upon the use of Fourier series of ultradistributions with respect to a lattice. In the case of ω -ultradistributions, to the best of our knowledge, this topic is not available in the literature. Section 3 gives a concise presentation of the theory of Fourier series expansions of periodic ultradistributions.

Section 4 is dedicated to discrete characterizations of the Fourier-Lebesgue wave front set of a non-quasianalytic ω -ultradistribution with respect to a so-called ω -moderate weight. In particular, our considerations apply to the wave front sets $WF_{(\omega)}$ and $WF_{\{\omega\}}$. It is worth mentioning that the latter two classes of wave front sets have been recently studied by Albanese et al. [1] and Fernández et al. [9] in connection with regularity of solutions to linear PDE. Note that wave front sets with respect to Fourier-Lebesgue spaces were originally introduced in [16, 24, 25], but we remark that here we allow weights with much larger growth than those considered in the forementioned works. In addition, our results apply to more general classes of ultradistributions. The authors believe that the Beurling-Björck theory is the most natural framework for microlocal analysis based on Fourier-Lebesgue spaces.

Our results from Section 4 already include discrete characterizations of Gevrey $\{s\}$ - and (s) -microregularity for $s > 1$ [27]. Moreover, they also contain the case of microregularity with respect to certain classes of non-quasianalytic weight sequences. In Section 5 we further extend our analysis to weight sequences satisfying milder assumptions. In the non-quasianalytic case, we shall show the following theorem. A lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^d is simply a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R}^d which spans the real vector space \mathbb{R}^d . The dual lattice of Λ is the discrete group $\Lambda^* = \{\mu^* \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mu \cdot \mu^* \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \mu \in \Lambda\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a weight sequence satisfying the conditions (M.1), (M.2)', and (M.3)' and having associated function M (see Subsection 2.2). Suppose*

that Λ is a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d and let U be an open convex neighborhood of the origin such that $U \cap \Lambda^* = \{0\}$. The Roumieu wave front set $WF_{\{M_p\}}(f)$ (the Beurling wave front set $WF_{(M_p)}(f)$) of an ultradistribution $f \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)'}(\Omega)$ coincides with the complement in $\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ of the set of all points (x_0, ξ_0) for which there exist an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\Omega \cap (x_0 + U))$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of x_0 such that for some $r > 0$ (for every $r > 0$)

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\varphi f}(\mu)| e^{M(r\mu)} < \infty.$$

Our main result from Section 5, Theorem 5.2, actually covers quasianalytic wave front sets. Specializing Theorem 5.2 to the analytic wave front set of a distribution, one obtains:

Theorem 1.2. *Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d and let U be an open convex neighborhood of the origin such that $U \cap \Lambda^* = \{0\}$. The analytic wave front set $WF_A(f)$ of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ coincides with the complement in $\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ of the set of points (x_0, ξ_0) for which there are an open neighborhood $V \subseteq \Omega \cap (x_0 + U)$ of x_0 , an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 , and a bounded sequence $(f_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega \cap (x_0 + U))$, with $f_p = f$ on V for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for some $h > 0$*

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{f_p}(\mu)| |\mu|^p \leq h^{p+1} p!, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we fix the notation and explain the spaces of ultradifferentiable functions and ultradistributions needed in this article.

2.1. Spaces defined via weight functions. We start with the Beurling-Björck approach to ultradistribution theory via weight functions [3] (see also [4]). A weight function on \mathbb{R}^d is simply a non-negative measurable function. Throughout the article we shall always assume that ω is an even weight function satisfying $\omega(0) = 0$ and the following three conditions:

$$(\alpha) \quad \omega(\xi_1 + \xi_2) \leq \omega(\xi_1) + \omega(\xi_2), \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$(\beta) \quad \int_{|\xi| > 1} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{|\xi|^{d+1}} d\xi < \infty,$$

$$(\gamma) \quad \text{there exist } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } C > 0 \text{ such that } \omega(\xi) \geq a + C \log(1 + |\xi|), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

It should be noticed that measurability and subadditivity, namely, condition (α) , ensure that ω is locally bounded [2, 12].

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $K \Subset \Omega$ (a compact subset in Ω) and $\lambda > 0$. The Banach space $\mathcal{D}_\omega^\lambda(K)$ consists of those $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq K$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_\lambda = \|\varphi\|_{\omega, \lambda} := \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| e^{\lambda \omega(\xi)} < \infty.$$

Set further

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega) = \varinjlim_{K \Subset \Omega} \varprojlim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_\omega^\lambda(K).$$

Condition (γ) yields $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Its dual $\mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ is the ultradistribution space of class (ω) (or Beurling type). We define $\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ as the space of multipliers of $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$, that is, a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\varphi\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$. Its topology is generated by the family of seminorms $\varphi \rightarrow \|\varphi\psi\|_\lambda$,

$\lambda > 0$, $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{E}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ consisting of ultradistributions with compact support. The space $\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all those $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)| e^{\lambda \omega(x)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}^{(\alpha)}(\xi)| e^{\lambda \omega(\xi)} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d;$$

its Fréchet space topology being defined in the canonical way. We shall fix the constants in the Fourier transform as

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx.$$

Since the Fourier transform is an automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it can be extended by duality to $\mathcal{S}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the so-called space of (ω) -tempered ultradistributions.

Naturally, one may also define the spaces of class $\{\omega\}$ (Roumieu type) $\mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{D}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{E}_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{E}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{S}_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\mathcal{S}'_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by simply switching the universal quantifier \forall over λ to an existential one. When considering these spaces, we shall always assume that ω satisfies a stronger condition than (γ) , namely,

$$(\gamma_0) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\log(1 + |\xi|)} = \infty.$$

For instance,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega) = \varinjlim_{K \Subset \Omega} \varinjlim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{D}_\omega^\lambda(K),$$

and (γ_0) ensures that $\mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

Note that if $\omega(\xi) = \log(1 + |\xi|)$, one then recovers the classical Schwartz spaces as particular instances of the Beurling case. Another important example of a weight function is provided by the Gevrey weights $\omega(\xi) = |\xi|^{\frac{1}{s}}$, $s > 1$; in such a case one obtains the well known Gevrey function and ultradistribution spaces [27, 16].

We shall also work with weighted Fourier-Lebesgue spaces [3, Chap. II]. A weight function v is said to be (ω) -moderate ($\{\omega\}$ -moderate) if there are $C, \lambda > 0$ (for every $\lambda > 0$ there is $C = C_\lambda > 0$) such that

$$(2.1) \quad v(\xi_1 + \xi_2) \leq C v(\xi_1) e^{\lambda \omega(\xi_2)}, \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The classes of all (ω) -moderate and $\{\omega\}$ -moderate weight functions are denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\{\omega\}}$, respectively. Let $q \in [1, \infty]$; the (weighted) Fourier-Lebesgue space \mathcal{FL}_v^q (with respect to $v \in \mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$) is the Banach space of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widehat{f} \in L_v^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that is, \widehat{f} is locally integrable and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_v^q} := \|\widehat{f}\|_{L_v^q} = \|\widehat{f} v\|_{L^q} < \infty$. Clearly, if $v \in \mathcal{M}_{\{\omega\}}$, then $\mathcal{FL}_v^q \subset \mathcal{S}'_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

2.2. Weight sequences. Another useful and widely used approach to the theory of ultradifferentiable functions and ultradistributions is via weight sequences [17]. Let $(M_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers (with $M_0 = 1$). We will make use of some of the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} (M.1) \quad & M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}, \quad p \geq 1, \\ (M.2)' \quad & M_{p+1} \leq A H^p M_p, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for some } A, H > 0, \\ (M.2) \quad & M_p \leq A H^p \min_{1 \leq q \leq p} \{M_q M_{p-q}\}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for some } A, H > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(M.3)' \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < \infty.$$

The associated function of $(M_p)_p$ is defined as

$$M(t) := \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log \frac{t^p}{M_p}, \quad t > 0.$$

Its log-convex regularization is the sequence $M_p^c = \sup_{t>0} \frac{t^p}{e^{M(t)}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the greatest log-convex minorant of $(M_p)_p$; note that $(M_p)_p$ satisfies (M.1) if and only if $(M_p)_p = (M_p^c)_p$ (see [17]). As usual, the relation $M_p \subset N_p$ between two weight sequences means that there are $C, h > 0$ such that $M_p \leq Ch^p N_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The stronger relation $M_p \prec N_p$ means that the latter inequality remains valid for every $h > 0$ and a suitable $C = C_h > 0$.

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. For $K \Subset \Omega$ and $h > 0$, one writes $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\},h}(K)$ for the space of all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\},h}(K)} := \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}} \frac{|\varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} < \infty,$$

and $\mathcal{D}_K^{\{M_p\},h}$ stands for the closed subspace of $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\},h}(K)$ consisting of functions with compact support in K . Further on,

$$\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\Omega) = \varprojlim_{K \Subset \Omega} \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{D}_K^{\{M_p\},h}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}(\Omega) = \varprojlim_{K \Subset \Omega} \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_K^{\{M_p\},h},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}(\Omega) = \varprojlim_{K \Subset \Omega} \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\},h}(K), \quad \mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}(\Omega) = \varprojlim_{K \Subset \Omega} \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\},h}(K);$$

their duals are the spaces of M_p -ultradistributions and compactly supported M_p -ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type, respectively [17].

It is important to point out that under certain circumstances these spaces coincide with those discussed in Subsection 2.1. For instance, Petzsche and Vogt have shown [23, Sect. 5] (see also [20, Satz 2.3]) that if the weight sequence $(M_p)_p$ satisfies (M.1), (M.2), (M.3)', and the condition

$$(M.4) \quad \frac{M_p}{p!} \subset \left(\frac{M_p}{p!} \right)^c,$$

then one can always find a weight function ω fulfilling (α) , (β) , (γ_0) , and $\omega \asymp M$, such that $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}(\Omega)$, topologically. Furthermore, they proved, under (M.2), that (M.4) is equivalent to the so-called Rudin condition:

$$(M.4)'' \quad \max_{q \leq p} \left(\frac{M_q}{q!} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A \left(\frac{M_p}{p!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for some } A > 0.$$

((M.4)'' is equivalent to the property that $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}(\Omega)$ are inverse closed, cf. [29, 26].) Finally, it is worth mentioning that strong non-quasianalyticity (i.e., Komatsu's condition (M.3) [17]) automatically yields (M.4), as shown by Petzsche [22, Prop. 1.1].

3. PERIODIC ULTRADISTRIBUTIONS

Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d . An ultradistribution f is said to be Λ -periodic if $f(\cdot + \mu) = f$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda$. We denote as $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}}$ the spaces of Λ -periodic ω -ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type, respectively. We also consider $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)} = \mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}} = \mathcal{E}_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}}$, which are easily seen to be closed subspaces of $\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively.

We shall show in this section that every Λ -periodic ω -ultradistribution can be expanded into a Fourier series. For it, we select a *fundamental region* I_Λ for the lattice, namely, a connected set $I_\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with the property that the restriction of the quotient mapping $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$ to I_Λ is a bijection. The set I_Λ is of course a d -dimensional parallelepiped and $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda} (\mu + I_\Lambda)$. It can be readily shown that $|\Lambda| := \text{vol}(I_\Lambda)$ does not depend on the choice of the fundamental region I_Λ . As in the introduction, Λ^* stands for the dual lattice of Λ . Clearly, $\Lambda = \Lambda^{**}$. Many of our arguments in this section are based on the Poisson summation formula [14], which in this context takes the form

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot \mu} = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \delta(\xi - \mu^*) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{E}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (or whenever it makes sense), we denote as $f_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}$ its Λ -periodization, that is, the Λ -periodic ω -ultradistribution

$$(3.2) \quad f_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda} := \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} f(\cdot + \mu).$$

We begin with a useful lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *There is $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda} = 1$, namely,*

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \eta(x + \mu) = 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. Fourier transforming (3.3) and employing the Poisson summation formula (3.1), one obtains that the relation (3.3) would be satisfied if we find $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widehat{\eta}(0) = |\Lambda|$ and $\widehat{\eta}(\mu^*) = 0$ for every $\mu^* \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$. Select d vectors μ_1, \dots, μ_d that generate the Abelian group Λ and that span \mathbb{R}^d , and pick $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = |\Lambda|$. The function η given in Fourier side as

$$\widehat{\eta}(\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\sin(2\pi \xi \cdot \mu_j)}{2\pi \xi \cdot \mu_j}$$

satisfies all requirements. \square

We employ the notation $e_y(x) = e^{2\pi i y \cdot x}$, where y is a fixed vector of \mathbb{R}^d . Given a locally integrable Λ -periodic function ϕ , its Fourier coefficients with respect to the lattice Λ are given by

$$(3.4) \quad c_{\mu^*} = c_{\mu^*}(\phi) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \int_{I_\Lambda} \phi(x) e_{-\mu^*}(x) dx, \quad \mu^* \in \Lambda^*.$$

Obviously, the integral in (3.4) does not depend on the choice of I_Λ ; in fact, these coefficients can be also computed as

$$(3.5) \quad c_{\mu^*} = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \eta(x) e_{-\mu^*}(x) dx = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \widehat{(\phi\eta)}(\mu^*),$$

where η is as in Lemma 3.1. Note that we thus have $c_{\mu^*}(e_{\nu^*}) = \delta_{\nu^*\mu^*}$, as immediately follows from (3.5). The next lemma shows that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}}$ are isomorphic to t.v.s of ω -rapidly decreasing functions on the dual lattice Λ^* , namely,

$$\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}(\Lambda^*) = \varprojlim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_\omega^\lambda(\Lambda^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\{\omega\}}(\Lambda^*) = \varinjlim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{S}_\omega^\lambda(\Lambda^*),$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_\omega^\lambda(\Lambda^*) = \left\{ (c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \in \mathbb{C}^{\Lambda^*} : \sigma_\lambda((c_{\mu^*})) := \sup_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} |c_{\mu^*}| e^{\lambda \omega(\mu^*)} < \infty \right\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(In the Roumieu case we assume that (γ_0) holds.)

Lemma 3.2. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)}$ ($\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}}$), then*

$$(3.6) \quad \phi = \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*} e_{\mu^*},$$

with convergence in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)}$ ($\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}}$), where the Fourier coefficients c_{μ^} are given by (3.4). Moreover, the mapping $\phi \mapsto (c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}$ yields the (t.v.s.) isomorphisms $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)} \cong \mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}(\Lambda^*)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\{\omega\}}(\Lambda^*)$.*

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be as in Lemma 3.1. The relation (3.5) then yields

$$|c_{\mu^*}(\phi)| = |\Lambda|^{-1} \left| \widehat{\eta\phi}(\mu^*) \right| \leq |\Lambda|^{-1} \|\eta\phi\|_\lambda e^{-\lambda \omega(\mu^*)},$$

which shows the continuity of $\phi \mapsto (c_{\mu^*}(\phi))_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}$ in both cases. On the other hand, if $(c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \in \mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}(\Lambda^*)$ (or $(c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \in \mathcal{S}_{\{\omega\}}(\Lambda^*)$), F is a finite subset of Λ^* , and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$), then

$$\|\psi \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^* \setminus F} c_{\mu^*} e_{\mu^*}\|_\lambda \leq \|\psi\|_\lambda \sigma_{2\lambda}((c_{\mu^*})) \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^* \setminus F} e^{-\lambda \omega(\mu^*)}.$$

This proves that $\sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*} e_{\mu^*}$ is summable in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)}$ (in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}}$) and that the mapping $(c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \mapsto \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*} e_{\mu^*}$ is also continuous. The convergence of (3.6) is now a consequence of the injectivity of $\phi \mapsto (c_{\mu^*}(\phi))_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}$, which of course follows from the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$ by a linear change of variables¹. Alternatively, this injectivity can also be established as follows. If ϕ is such that $c_{\mu^*}(\phi) = |\Lambda|^{-1} \widehat{(\phi\eta)}(\mu^*) = 0$ for every $\mu^* \in \Lambda^*$, then, for an arbitrary $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \psi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \eta(x) \psi_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}(x) dx$. Hence, by the Poisson summation formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \psi(x) dx &= \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \left\langle \widehat{(\phi\eta)}(-\xi), \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \delta(\xi - \mu^*) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \widehat{\psi}(-\mu^*) \widehat{(\phi\eta)}(\mu^*) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we must have $\phi = 0$. □

¹Every lattice in \mathbb{R}^d is of the form $\Lambda = T(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, where T is an invertible matrix.

We are ready to deal with Fourier expansions of ω -ultradistributions. The treatment is similar to the distribution case [32], but we give the details for the sake of completeness. Observe first that a standard argument shows that

$$\mathcal{S}'_{(\omega)}(\Lambda^*) = \varinjlim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-\lambda}(\Lambda^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Lambda^*) = \varprojlim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{S}_\omega^{-\lambda}(\Lambda^*).$$

Lemma 3.2 then yields that the duals of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}}$ are isomorphic to these spaces and their elements can be expanded as $g = \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*}(g) e_{\mu^*}$ with $(c_{\mu^*}(g))_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}$ being an element of $\mathcal{S}'_{(\omega)}(\Lambda^*)$ or $\mathcal{S}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Lambda^*)$, respectively, and

$$\langle g, \phi \rangle_{(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \omega})' \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \omega}} = |\Lambda| \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{-\mu^*}(g) c_{\mu^*}(\phi),$$

where ω stands for either the Beurling case (ω) or the Roumieu case $\{\omega\}$, respectively.

In the rest of the discussion η stands for a test function as in Lemma 3.1. Given $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)}$ ($f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}}$), we identify it with an element g of the dual of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)}$ ($\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}}$) as follows:

$$(3.7) \quad \langle g, \phi \rangle_{(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \omega})' \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \omega}} := \langle f, \eta \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{D}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \omega}.$$

The definition of g is independent of the choice of η , as can be readily verified. The Λ -periodic ω -ultradistribution f can be recovered on \mathbb{R}^d from g as

$$(3.8) \quad \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{D}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \langle g, \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda} \rangle_{(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \omega})' \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \omega}}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Using g , one defines the Fourier coefficients of f as

$$(3.9) \quad c_{\mu^*}(f) := c_{\mu^*}(g) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \langle f, \eta e_{-\mu^*} \rangle, \quad \mu^* \in \Lambda^*.$$

Summarizing, we have:

Proposition 3.3. *Every $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)}$ ($f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}}$) can be expanded as*

$$(3.10) \quad f = \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*} e_{\mu^*} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (\text{in } \mathcal{D}'_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

where the Fourier coefficients c_{μ^*} are given by (3.9). The mapping $f \mapsto (c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}$ provides the (t.v.s.) isomorphisms $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)} \cong \mathcal{S}'_{(\omega)}(\Lambda^*)$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}} \cong \mathcal{S}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Lambda^*)$.

Proof. Writing $g = \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*}(f) e_{\mu^*}$ for the ultradistribution given by (3.7), we obtain

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle g, \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda} \rangle = |\Lambda| \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*}(f) c_{-\mu^*}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}) = \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*}(f) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta(x) \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}(x) e_{\mu^*}(x) dx,$$

which proves (3.10) because $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) e_{\mu^*}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta(x) \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}(x) e_{\mu^*}(x) dx$. Note that the correspondence $f \mapsto g$ provides continuous mappings $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)})'$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}})'$, as directly follows from (3.7). Their inverse mappings (cf. (3.8)) are the transposes of the continuous mappings $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, (\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda, \{\omega\}}$ given by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}$, so they are continuous as well. \square

In view of Proposition 3.3, we can canonically identify $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}}$ with the duals of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}}$ via the Fourier series (3.10), so that we simply write $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)})'$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,\{\omega\}})'$. This convention of course amounts to the same as the identification $f = g$ by means of (3.7) and (3.8).

We can also define weighted Fourier-Lebesgue spaces with respect to the dual lattice Λ^* . Let $v \in \mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$ and $q \in [1, \infty]$. The Banach space $\mathcal{FL}_{v,\Lambda^*}^q$ consists of all $f = \sum_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} c_{\mu^*} e_{\mu^*} \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda,(\omega)}$ such that $(c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \in l_v^q(\Lambda^*)$, namely,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_{v,\Lambda^*}^q} := \|(c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}\|_{l_v^q(\Lambda^*)} = \|(c_{\mu^*} v(\mu^*))_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}\|_{l^q(\Lambda^*)} < \infty.$$

Remark 3.4. Analogous results hold for M_p -ultradistributions under the assumptions (M.1), (M.2)', and (M.3)'. In fact, assume that the sequence $(M_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies these three conditions and consider $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}^{(M_p)'}'$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}^{\{M_p\}'}$, the subspaces of $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively, consisting of Λ -periodic M_p -ultradistributions. Then, every Λ -periodic M_p -ultradistribution admits the Fourier expansion (3.10) with convergence in $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $\mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively. Furthermore, $f \mapsto (c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*}$ also yields t.v.s. isomorphisms $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}^{(M_p)'} \cong \mathcal{S}^{(M_p)'}(\Lambda^*) := \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}^{M_p, -h}(\Lambda^*)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{p}_\Lambda}^{\{M_p\}'} \cong$

$\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}'}(\Lambda^*) := \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{S}^{M_p, -h}(\Lambda^*)$, where

$$\mathcal{S}^{M_p, -h}(\Lambda^*) = \left\{ (c_{\mu^*})_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} \in \mathbb{C}^{\Lambda^*} : \sup_{\mu^* \in \Lambda^*} |c_{\mu^*}| e^{-M(h\mu^*)} < \infty \right\}.$$

The proofs of these assertions can be obtained exactly as for ω -ultradistributions. We also refer to [11, 20] for studies involving Fourier series of M_p -ultradistributions.

4. WAVE FRONT SETS OF FOURIER-LEBESGUE TYPE

The aim of this section is to provide a discrete characterization of wave front sets of Fourier-Lebesgue type. Besides the conditions (α) , (β) , and (γ) , we impose throughout this section the following additional assumption on ω : The weight ω is a non-decreasing function of $|\xi|$, namely,

(α_0) $\omega(\xi) = \omega_0(|\xi|)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\omega_0 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing.

We need to introduce some notation in order to define the Fourier-Lebesgue wave front set of an ω -ultradistribution. Let $v \in \mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$, let $q \in [1, \infty]$, and let Γ be a cone in \mathbb{R}^d . If $g \in \mathcal{S}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is such that \widehat{g} is locally integrable in an open neighborhood of Γ , we consider the seminorm

$$(4.1) \quad \|g\|_{\mathcal{FL}_{v,\Gamma}^q} := \|\widehat{g}\|_{L_v^q(\Gamma)} = \|\widehat{g} 1_\Gamma\|_{L_v^q},$$

where 1_A stands for the characteristic function of a set A . The seminorm (4.1) is in particular well-defined if $g \in \mathcal{E}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but naturally it might become ∞ .

Let now $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$. The ω -ultradistribution f is said to be \mathcal{FL}_v^q -microlocally regular at the point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ if there are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and a test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ with $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \|\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_{v,\Gamma}^q} < \infty.$$

The wave front set $WF_{\mathcal{FL}_v^q}(f)$ consists of all those points $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ such that f is not \mathcal{FL}_v^q -microlocally regular at (x_0, ξ_0) .

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this section, a discrete characterization of $WF_{\mathcal{F}L_v^q}(f)$ with respect to a lattice.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d , $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$, $v \in \mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, and $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$. Suppose that U is an open convex neighborhood of the origin such that $U \cap \Lambda^* = \{0\}$ and $x_0 + U \subseteq \Omega$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U)$ with $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ such that*

$$\left\| (\widehat{\varphi f}(\mu))_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l_v^q(\Gamma \cap \Lambda)} < \infty.$$

- (ii) *f is $\mathcal{F}L_v^q$ -microlocally regular at (x_0, ξ_0) .*

Part of the proof of Theorem 4.1 is based on the ensuing lemma:

Lemma 4.2.

- (i)' *Condition (i) from Theorem 4.1 implies that there are an open conic neighborhood Γ_1 of ξ_0 and an open neighborhood $U_1 \subseteq U$ of the origin such that for every bounded set $B \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$*

$$\sup_{\psi \in B} \left\| (\widehat{\psi f}(\mu))_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l_v^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)} < \infty.$$

- (ii)' *Condition (ii) from Theorem 4.1 implies that there are an open conic neighborhood Γ_1 of ξ_0 and an open neighborhood U_1 of the origin such that for every bounded set $B \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$*

$$\sup_{\psi \in B} |\psi f|_{\mathcal{F}L_v^q, \Gamma_1} < \infty.$$

Proof. We only prove (i)', because the second assertion can be established in a similar fashion by replacing sums by integrals. Assume condition (i) of Theorem 4.1. We begin by finding suitable Γ_1 and U_1 . Choose an open conic neighborhood Γ_1 of ξ_0 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \subseteq \Gamma \cup \{0\}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that m^{-1} is smaller than the distance between $\partial\Gamma$ and the intersection of Γ_1 with the unit sphere, and also smaller than the distance between $\partial\Gamma_1$ and the intersection of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Gamma$ with the unit sphere. Hence $\xi \in \Gamma_1$ and $y \notin \Gamma$ imply that $|\xi - y| \geq m^{-1} \max(|\xi|, |y|)$. As U_1 we select any open neighborhood U_1 of 0 such that $\bar{U}_1 \subseteq U \cap \{x : \varphi(x + x_0) \neq 0\} =: U_2$. In addition, pick $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_2)$ such that $\kappa \equiv 1$ on $x_0 + U_1$. Observe that² $\theta := \kappa/\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_2)$. Let now $B \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$ be a bounded subset. If $\psi \in B$ we have that $\psi f = \varphi \psi_1 f$, where ψ_1 is an element of the bounded set $B_1 := \theta B \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$. Next, notice that there is a fundamental region I_{Λ^*} of the dual lattice Λ^* such that $x_0 + U \subseteq I_{\Lambda^*}$. Since for an arbitrary $g \in \mathcal{E}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ having support in the interior of I_{Λ^*} we have that the Fourier coefficients of its Λ^* -periodization (cf. Section 3) are given by $(\widehat{g}(\mu))_{\mu \in \Lambda}$, we obtain

$$(\varphi f)_{p_{\Lambda^*}} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a_{\mu} e_{\mu},$$

²In fact, Beurling theorem [2, 3] tells us that the condition (β) is equivalent to the regularity of the Beurling algebras $L_{e^{\omega_{\lambda}}}^1$ with weights $\omega_{\lambda}(\xi) := \lambda \omega(\xi)$. As a consequence of the general theory of regular commutative Banach algebras [10], one obtains that analytic functions act locally on each $\mathcal{F}(L_{e^{\omega_{\lambda}}}^1)$; in particular, $\mathcal{E}_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\{\omega\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are inverse closed.

with $a_\mu = \widehat{\varphi f}(\mu)$. Furthermore, since $(\psi f)_{\mathbf{p}\Lambda^*} = (\varphi f)_{\mathbf{p}\Lambda^*}(\psi_1)_{\mathbf{p}\Lambda^*}$, we conclude that

$$\widehat{\psi f}(\mu) = \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} a_\beta \widehat{\psi_1}(\mu - \beta), \quad \mu \in \Lambda.$$

Thus, by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\widehat{\psi f}(\mu))_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l_v^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)} &\leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} |a_\beta| v(\beta) |\widehat{\psi_1}(\mu - \beta)| e^{\lambda \omega(\mu - \beta)} \right)_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)} \\ &\leq C(I_1(\psi_1) + I_2(\psi_1)), \quad \forall \psi \in B, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1(\psi_1) = \left\| \left(\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |a_\beta| v(\beta) |\widehat{\psi_1}(\mu - \beta)| e^{\lambda \omega(\mu - \beta)} \right)_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)},$$

and

$$I_2(\psi_1) = \left\| \left(\sum_{\beta \notin \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |a_\beta| v(\beta) |\widehat{\psi_1}(\mu - \beta)| e^{\lambda \omega(\mu - \beta)} \right)_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)}.$$

Young's inequality and the boundedness of B_1 imply that

$$\sup_{\psi_1 \in B_1} I_1(\psi_1) \leq \left\| (\widehat{\varphi f}(\mu))_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l_v^q(\Gamma \cap \Lambda)} \sup_{\psi_1 \in B_1} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} |\widehat{\psi_1}(\beta)| e^{\lambda \omega(\beta)} < \infty.$$

We now estimate $I_2(\psi_1)$. By Proposition 3.3 and the fact that v is ω -moderate there exist $D, \lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad |a_\beta| v(\beta) \leq D e^{\lambda_0 \omega(\beta)}, \quad \forall \beta \in \Lambda.$$

Since B_1 is bounded, we have that for every $\gamma > 0$ there exists $C_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_{\psi_1 \in B_1} |\widehat{\psi_1}(\xi)| \leq C_\gamma e^{-\gamma \omega(\xi)}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Hence

$$\sup_{\psi_1 \in B_1} I_2(\psi_1) \leq A \left\| \left(\sum_{\beta \notin \Gamma \cap \Lambda} e^{\lambda_0 \omega(\beta) - \gamma_0 \omega(\mu - \beta)} \right)_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)}$$

where $A = DC_{\gamma_0 + \lambda}$. In view of the choice of the cone Γ_1 and the constant m , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\psi_1 \in B_1} I_2(\psi_1) &\leq A \left\| \left(e^{-(\gamma_0/2)\omega(\mu/m)} \sum_{\beta \notin \Gamma \cap \Lambda} e^{\lambda_0 \omega(\beta) - (\gamma_0/2)\omega(\beta/m)} \right)_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)} \\ &\leq A \left\| \left(e^{-(\gamma_0/2)\omega(\mu/m)} \right)_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \right\|_{l^q(\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda)} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} e^{(\lambda_0 m - (\gamma_0/2))\omega(\beta/m)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

provided that γ_0 is large enough. \square

We can now proceed to show Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let I_Λ be a fundamental region for Λ with $0 \in I_\Lambda$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let Γ_1 and U_1 be as in the first part of Lemma 4.2. Choose an open conic neighborhood Γ_2 of ξ_0 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_2 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \cup \{0\}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$ with $\psi(x_0) \neq 0$. Note that $B = \{\psi_t := \psi e_{-t} : t \in I_\Lambda\}$ is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$. Fix $r > 0$ such that $\Gamma_2 \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \geq r\} \subseteq (\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda) + I_\Lambda$. Set $D = \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} e^{\lambda\omega(t)}$. For $q < \infty$ the first part of Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\substack{\xi \in \Gamma_2 \\ |\xi| \geq r}} |\widehat{\psi f}(\xi) v(\xi)|^q d\xi &\leq \sum_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \int_{I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(t + \mu) v(t + \mu)|^q dt \\ &\leq C^q D^q \sum_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} v(\mu)^q \int_{I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi_t f}(\mu)|^q dt \\ &\leq |\Lambda| C^q D^q \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} \sum_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\psi_t f}(\mu) v(\mu)|^q < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

while for $q = \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{\xi \in \Gamma_2 \\ |\xi| \geq r}} |\widehat{\psi f}(\xi) v(\xi)| &\leq \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(t + \mu) v(t + \mu)| \\ &\leq CD \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\psi_t f}(\mu) v(\mu)| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): The case $q = \infty$ is trivial, so we assume $q < \infty$. Let Γ_1 and U_1 be as in the second part of Lemma 4.2 and let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$ with $\psi(x_0) \neq 0$. Choose an open conic neighborhood Γ_2 of ξ_0 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_2 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \cup \{0\}$ and $r > 0$ so large that $(\Gamma_2 + I_\Lambda) \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \geq r\} \subseteq \Gamma_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{\mu \in \Gamma_2 \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(\mu) v(\mu)|^q \right)^{1/q} &= \left(\frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{\mu \in \Gamma_2 \cap \Lambda} \int_{\mu + I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(\mu) v(\mu)|^q d\xi \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq |\Lambda|^{-1/q} (J_1^{1/q} + J_2^{1/q}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1 := \sum_{\mu \in \Gamma_2 \cap \Lambda} \int_{\mu + I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(\mu) - \widehat{\psi f}(\xi)|^q v(\mu)^q d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &:= \sum_{\mu \in \Gamma_2 \cap \Lambda} \int_{\mu + I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(\xi)|^q v(\mu)^q d\xi \\ &\leq \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} \int_{\mu + I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(\xi)|^q v(\mu)^q d\xi + C^q \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} e^{q\lambda\omega(t)} \int_{\Gamma_1} |\widehat{\psi f}(\xi) v(\xi)|^q d\xi < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate J_1 . Set $D = \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} |t|$. Since I_Λ is convex and contains the origin, we have for $\mu \in \Gamma_2 \cap \Lambda$ and $\xi \in \mu + I_\Lambda$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\psi f}(\mu) - \widehat{\psi f}(\xi)|^q &\leq |\mu - \xi|^q \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\nabla \widehat{\psi f}(\xi + t(\mu - \xi))|^q \\ &\leq D^q \sup_{y \in I_\Lambda} |\nabla \widehat{\psi f}(\xi - y)|^q \\ &\leq D^q \sum_{k=1}^d \sup_{y \in I_\Lambda} |\mathcal{F}(x_k e_y \psi f)(\xi)|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the set $\{x_k e_y \psi : y \in I_\Lambda\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U_1)$ for each $k = 1, \dots, d$. Hence part (ii)' of Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq \sum_{|\mu| \leq r} \int_{\mu + I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\psi f}(\mu) - \widehat{\psi f}(\xi)|^q v(\mu)^q d\xi \\ &\quad + C^q D^q \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} e^{q\lambda\omega(t)} \sum_{k=1}^d \sup_{y \in I_\Lambda} \int_{\Gamma_1} |\mathcal{F}(x_k e_y \psi f)(\xi)|^q v(\xi)^q d\xi < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 4.3. Note that if the ultradistribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$, then the regularity requirement on the φ used in part (i) from Theorem 4.1 and in (4.2) for the definition of \mathcal{FL}_v^p -microregularity can be relaxed to: $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(x_0 + U)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$, respectively, because the test function can always be replaced by one belonging to $\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$. In addition, if $v \in \mathcal{M}_{\{\omega\}}$, Lemma 4.2 can be strengthened: The properties (i)' and (ii)' hold true for bounded subsets B of $\mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(x_0 + U_1)$.

The proof of Lemma 4.2 motivates the introduction of the following discrete seminorms for Λ^* -periodic ω -ultradistributions. Let Γ be a cone and $g = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} c_\mu e_\mu \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda^*}, (\omega)}$. In analogy to (4.1), we write

$$(4.5) \quad |g|_{\mathcal{FL}_{v, \Lambda}^{q, \Gamma}} := \|(c_\mu)_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda}\|_{l_v^q(\Gamma \cap \Lambda)} = \|(c_\mu 1_\Gamma(\mu))_{\mu \in \Lambda}\|_{l_v^q(\Lambda)}.$$

Using (4.5), the condition (i) from Theorem 4.1 might be restated as

$$|(\varphi f)_{\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda^*}}|_{\mathcal{FL}_{v, \Lambda}^{q, \Gamma}} < \infty,$$

and if this is the case we shall say that f is $\mathcal{FL}_{v, \Lambda}^q$ -microlocally regular at (x_0, ξ_0) . The wave front set $WF_{\mathcal{FL}_{v, \Lambda}^q}(f)$ can be defined as the complement of the set of (x_0, ξ_0) such that f is $\mathcal{FL}_{v, \Lambda}^q$ -microlocally regular at (x_0, ξ_0) . With this terminology, we may then rephrase Theorem 4.1 as the following equality between wave front sets:

$$(4.6) \quad WF_{\mathcal{FL}_v^q}(f) = WF_{\mathcal{FL}_{v, \Lambda}^q}(f),$$

for any lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^d .

We now discuss several consequences of Theorem 4.1. Recall that if X is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, its associated local space (on an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^d) is $X_{loc}(\Omega) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega) : \varphi f \in X, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)\}$. Using the Λ -periodization operator (3.2), one may talk about local spaces with respect to vector spaces of Λ -periodic ultradistributions. Indeed, if Y is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda}, (\omega)}$, we set

$Y_{loc}(\Omega) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega) : (\varphi f)_{\mathbf{p}_\Lambda} \in Y, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)\}$. If X and Y are t.v.s. of ultradistributions, the topologies of $X_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $Y_{loc}(\Omega)$ can be defined in the canonical way. Employing a standard partition of the unity argument, Theorem 4.1 immediately yields:

Corollary 4.4. *Let $v \in \mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$. Then, $(\mathcal{FL}_v^q)_{loc}(\Omega) = (\mathcal{FL}_{v,\Lambda}^q)_{loc}(\Omega)$ topologically, for any lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^d .*

It should be pointed out that Corollary 4.4 remains valid even if we remove the assumption (α_0) on ω .

The equality (4.6) can be generalized to wave front sets of sup- and inf-types [16, 25]. Indeed, let $(v_j) = (v_j)_{j \in J}$ and $(q_j) = (q_j)_{j \in J}$ be two indexed families with $v_j \in \mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$ and $q_j \in [1, \infty]$, $\forall j \in J$. The wave front sets of $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ of inf-type with respect to the families of Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j}^{q_j})_{j \in J}$ and $(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j,\Lambda}^{q_j})_{j \in J}$ are given by

$$WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j}^{q_j})}^{\text{inf}}(f) = \bigcap_{j \in J} WF_{\mathcal{FL}_{v_j}^{q_j}}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j,\Lambda}^{q_j})}^{\text{inf}}(f) = \bigcap_{j \in J} WF_{\mathcal{FL}_{v_j,\Lambda}^{q_j}}(f).$$

On the other hand, the wave front set $WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j}^{q_j})}^{\text{sup}}(f)$ is defined as the complement in $\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ of the set of points (x_0, ξ_0) such that there are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ with $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ (both independent of the index j) such that $|\varphi f|_{\mathcal{FL}_{v_j}^{q_j,\Gamma}} < \infty$, for each $j \in J$. A similar definition (employing the seminorms (4.5)) applies to the wave front of discrete type $WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j,\Lambda}^{q_j})}^{\text{sup}}(f)$; in this case one should also assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U)$ where U is as in Theorem 4.1. We obtain that following stronger version of (4.6) as a corollary of the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $v_j \in \mathcal{M}_{(\omega)}$ and $q_j \in [1, \infty]$, where j runs over an index set J . For $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$(4.7) \quad WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j}^{q_j})}^{\text{inf}}(f) = WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j,\Lambda}^{q_j})}^{\text{inf}}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j}^{q_j})}^{\text{sup}}(f) = WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_j,\Lambda}^{q_j})}^{\text{sup}}(f),$$

for any lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^d .

We end this section with a discrete characterization of $WF_{\{\omega\}}$ and $WF_{(\omega)}$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$, these wave front sets can be defined as

$$WF_{\{\omega\}}(f) = WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_\lambda}^\infty)}^{\text{inf}}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad WF_{(\omega)}(f) = WF_{(\mathcal{FL}_{v_\lambda}^\infty)}^{\text{sup}}(f),$$

with the weights $v_\lambda(\xi) = e^{\lambda\omega(\xi)}$, $\lambda > 0$. If $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\{\omega\}}(f)$ (resp. $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{(\omega)}(f)$), one says that f is $\{\omega\}$ -microlocally regular at (x_0, ξ_0) (resp. (ω) -microlocally regular). We point out that when $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$, the ultradistribution is $\{\omega\}$ -microlocally regular ((ω) -microlocally regular) at (x_0, ξ_0) if and only if there are an conic open neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$ with $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ such that for some $\lambda > 0$ (for every $\lambda > 0$)

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma} |\widehat{\varphi f}(\xi)| e^{\lambda\omega(\xi)} < \infty,$$

so that our definition agrees with the one used in [9, 25, 27]. Summing up, we obtain the ensuing result, a corollary of (4.7).

Corollary 4.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{(\omega)}(\Omega)$ and let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose that U is an open convex neighborhood of the origin such that $U \cap \Lambda^* = \{0\}$ and $x_0 + U \subseteq \Omega$. Then, f is $\{\omega\}$ -microlocally regular ((ω) -microlocally regular) at the point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ if and only if there are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}(x_0 + U)$ with $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ such that for some $\lambda > 0$ (for every $\lambda > 0$)*

$$(4.9) \quad \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\varphi f}(\mu)| e^{\lambda \omega(\mu)} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{\{\omega\}}(\Omega)$ the regularity assumption on the φ witnessing (4.9) may be relaxed to $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\omega\}}(x_0 + U)$.

Note that if a weight sequence $(M_p)_p$ satisfies (M.1), (M.2), (M.3)', and (M.4), then Theorem 1.1 from the introduction turns out to be a particular case of Corollary 4.6; we shall however derive the general version of Theorem 1.1 in Section 5, where the quasianalytic case will also be treated. Nonetheless, it should be mentioned that Corollary 4.6 already covers important cases such as $\omega(\xi) = |\xi|^{1/s}$, $s > 1$, which corresponds to $M_p = (p!)^s$; thus it provides a discrete characterization of $\{s\}$ - and (s) -microregularity [27]. Furthermore, Corollary 4.6 also includes results by Ruzhansky and Turunen on the toroidal C^∞ -wave front set [30, Thm. 7.4], while Theorem 4.5 covers all results from [8, 19].

5. QUASIANALYTIC WAVE FRONT SETS

This last section is devoted to wave front sets defined via weight sequences.

We consider two sequences $(M_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(N_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers (with $M_0 = N_0 = 1$). The sequence $(M_p)_p$ is assumed to satisfy (M.1), (M.2)', (M.3)', while $(N_p)_p$ satisfies (M.1), (M.2)', and in addition

(M.5) $p! \subset N_p$ in the Roumieu case, or $p! \prec N_p$ in the Beurling case.

The sequence $(N_p)_p$ may be quasianalytic, namely, $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} N_{p-1}/N_p = \infty$, and will be used to measure the microregularity of an M_p -ultradistribution. The functions M and N stand for the associated functions of $(M_p)_p$ and $(N_p)_p$, respectively.

We shall follow Hörmander's approach to quasianalytic wave front sets [14, Sect. 8.4], but we slightly modify it to include wave fronts of M_p -ultradistributions. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)'}(\Omega)$. We begin with the Roumieu case. The ultradistribution f is said to be $\{N_p\}$ -microlocally regular at the point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ if there are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 , an open neighborhood V of x_0 and a bounded sequence $(f_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)'}(\Omega)$, with $f_p = f$ on V for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for some $A, C > 0$

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma} |\widehat{f_p}(\xi)| |\xi|^p < AC^p N_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The wave front set $WF_{\{N_p\}}(f)$ then consists of all those $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ such that f is *not* $\{N_p\}$ -microlocally regular at (x_0, ξ_0) . For classical Schwartz distributions $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, $WF_{\{N_p\}}(f)$ agrees with Hörmander's notion $WF_L(f)$, where $L_p = N_p^{1/p}$ (and our assumptions on N_p turn out to be the same as those considered in [14, Sect. 8.4] for L_p). In particular, for $N_p = p!$, we obtain the analytic wave front set $WF_A(f) := WF_{\{p!\}}(f)$.

One defines (N_p) -microregularity in a similar fashion (namely, by asking that (5.1) holds for all $C > 0$ and some $A = A_C > 0$). The definition of the Beurling wave front set $WF_{(N_p)}(f)$ should be clear.

We need the notion of an analytic cut-off sequence [14, 21] in order to move further. A sequence $(\chi_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$ is called an (M_p) -analytic cut-off sequence supported in Ω if

- (a) $(\chi_p)_p$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$,
- (b) $(\exists C > 0)(\forall h > 0)(\exists A_h > 0)$

$$\|\chi_p^{(\alpha)}\|_{\mathcal{E}^{(M_p),h}(L)} \leq A_h(Cp)^{|\alpha|}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha| \leq p,$$

where $L \Subset \Omega$ is such that $\text{supp } \chi_p \subseteq L$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We call $(\chi_p)_p$ an analytic cut-off sequence for $K \Subset \Omega$ if

- (c) there exists an open neighborhood V of K such that $\chi_p \equiv 1$ on V for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $K = \{x_0\}$ is a singleton set, we shall simply say that $(\chi_p)_p$ is an analytic cut-off sequence for x_0 . Likewise, one may also define an $\{M_p\}$ -analytic cut-off as a bounded sequence $(\chi_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$ such that the property (b) is asked to hold just for some $h, A_h > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $K \Subset \mathbb{R}^d$. For every open neighborhood W of K there exists an (M_p) -analytic cut-off sequence for K supported in W .*

Proof. Set $d(K, \partial W) = 4\varepsilon > 0$. By [14, Thm. 1.4.2] there is a sequence $(\chi_p)_p$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\chi_p^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^\infty} \leq (Cp)^{|\alpha|}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha| \leq p,$$

$\chi_p \equiv 1$ on $K + \bar{B}(0, 2\varepsilon)$ and $\text{supp } \chi_p \subseteq K + \bar{B}(0, 3\varepsilon)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq B(0, \varepsilon)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. It is clear that $(\chi_p * \varphi)_p$ satisfies all requirements. \square

We can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d , $f \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)'}(\Omega)$, and $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$. Suppose that U is an open convex neighborhood of the origin such that $U \cap \Lambda^* = \{0\}$ and $x_0 + U \subset \Omega$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 , an open neighborhood $V \subseteq x_0 + U$ of x_0 , and a bounded sequence $(f_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)'}(x_0 + U)$, with $f_p = f$ on V for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for some $A, C > 0$ (for every $C > 0$ there is $A > 0$)*

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{f_p}(\mu)| |\mu|^p \leq AC^p N_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- (ii) *There are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and an (M_p) -analytic cut-off sequence $(\chi_p)_p$ for x_0 supported in $x_0 + U$ such that for some $A, C > 0$ (for every $C > 0$ there is $A > 0$)*

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\mu)| |\mu|^p \leq AC^p N_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- (iii) There are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and an (M_p) -analytic cut-off sequence $(\chi_p)_p$ for x_0 such that for some $A, C > 0$ (for every $C > 0$ there is $A > 0$)

$$\sup_{\xi \in \Gamma} |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\xi)| |\xi|^p \leq AC^p N_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- (iv) f is $\{N_p\}$ -microlocally regular ((N_p) -microlocally regular) at (x_0, ξ_0) .

If additionally $(N_p)_p$ satisfies $(M.3)'$, then these statements are also equivalent to any of the following two conditions:

- (v) There are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(x_0 + U)$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of x_0 such that for some $r > 0$ (for every $r > 0$)

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\varphi f}(\mu)| e^{N(r\mu)} < \infty.$$

- (vi) There are an open conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(x_0 + U)$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of x_0 such that for some $r > 0$ (for every $r > 0$)

$$\sup_{\xi \in \Gamma} |\widehat{\varphi f}(\xi)| e^{N(r\xi)} < \infty.$$

Observe that both Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are contained in Theorem 5.2. The rest of this section is dedicated to give a proof of Theorem 5.2. We divide its proof into several intermediate lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(\chi_p)_p$ be an (M_p) -analytic cut-off sequence and B a bounded subset of $\mathcal{E}^{(p!)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,*

$$(\exists C > 0)(\forall h > 0)(\exists C_h > 0)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in B} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\chi_p \psi}(\xi)| |\xi|^p e^{M(|\xi|/h)} \leq C_h (Cp)^p, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Let $K \Subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be such that $\text{supp } \chi_p \subseteq K$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. A straightforward computation yields

$$(\exists C > 0)(\forall h > 0)(\exists C_h > 0)$$

$$\sup_{\psi \in B} \|(\chi_p \psi)^{(\alpha)}\|_{\mathcal{E}^{(M_p), h}(K)} \leq C_h (Cp)^{|\alpha|}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha| \leq p.$$

Hence for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi \in B$ and $h > 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\chi_p \psi}(\xi)| |\xi|^{p+q} &\leq d^{\frac{p+q}{2}} |K| \sup_{|\alpha|=p} \sup_{|\beta|=q} \sup_{x \in K} |(\chi_p \psi)^{(\alpha+\beta)}(x)| \\ &\leq |K| C_{h/\sqrt{d}} (\sqrt{d} Cp)^p h^q M_q, \end{aligned}$$

where $|K|$ is the Lebesgue measure of K . Consequently,

$$|\widehat{\chi_p \psi}(\xi)| |\xi|^p \leq |K| C_{h/\sqrt{d}} (\sqrt{d} Cp)^p \inf_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{h^q M_q}{|\xi|^q} = |K| C_{h/\sqrt{d}} (\sqrt{d} Cp)^p e^{-M(|\xi|/h)}.$$

□

Lemma 5.4. *Condition (ii) from Theorem 5.2 implies that there are an open conic neighborhood Γ_1 of ξ_0 , an open neighborhood $U_1 \subseteq U$ of the origin, and an (M_p) -analytic cut-off sequence $(\kappa_p)_p$ for x_0 supported in $x_0 + U_1$ such that for every bounded set B in $\mathcal{E}^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there are $A, C > 0$ (for every $C > 0$ there is $A > 0$) for which*

$$\sup_{\psi \in B} \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} |\widehat{\kappa_p \psi f}(\mu)| |\mu|^p \leq AC^p N_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. We choose an open conic neighborhood Γ_1 of ξ_0 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \subseteq \Gamma \cup \{0\}$. Let $0 < c < 1$ be smaller than the distance between $\partial\Gamma$ and the intersection of Γ_1 with the unit sphere. Hence $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : (\exists \xi \in \Gamma_1)(|\xi - y| \leq c|\xi|)\} \subseteq \Gamma$. Next, choose an open neighborhood $U_1 \subseteq U$ of the origin such that $\chi_p \equiv 1$ on $x_0 + U_1$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(\kappa_p)_p$ be an analytic cut-off sequence for x_0 supported in $x_0 + U_1$. Let B be a bounded set in $\mathcal{E}^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $x_0 + U$ is contained in some fundamental region I_{Λ^*} of the dual lattice Λ^* , we have that for an arbitrary $g \in \mathcal{E}^{(M_p)'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ having support in the interior of I_{Λ^*} the Fourier coefficients of its Λ^* -periodization are given by $(\widehat{g}(\mu))_{\mu \in \Lambda}$. In particular, using the fact that $(\kappa_p \psi f)_{\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda^*}} = (\kappa_p \psi)_{\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda^*}} (\chi_p f)_{\mathfrak{p}_{\Lambda^*}}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in B$, we obtain that

$$|\widehat{\kappa_p \psi f}(\mu)| = \left| \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} \widehat{\chi_p f}(\mu - \beta) \widehat{\kappa_p \psi}(\beta) \right| \leq I_{1,p}(\mu) + I_{2,p}(\mu),$$

where

$$I_{1,p}(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{|\beta| \leq c|\mu| \\ \beta \in \Lambda}} |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\mu - \beta)| |\widehat{\kappa_p \psi}(\beta)|$$

and

$$I_{2,p}(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{|\beta| > c|\mu| \\ \beta \in \Lambda}} |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\mu - \beta)| |\widehat{\kappa_p \psi}(\beta)|.$$

Due to the fact that the set $\{\kappa_p \psi : p \in \mathbb{N}, \psi \in B\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$, there exists $D > 0$, independent of p and ψ , such that

$$I_{1,p}(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{|\mu - \beta| \leq c|\mu| \\ \beta \in \Lambda}} |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\beta)| |\widehat{\kappa_p \psi}(\mu - \beta)| \leq D \sup_{\substack{|\mu - \beta| \leq c|\mu| \\ \beta \in \Lambda}} |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\beta)|$$

Since $|\mu - \beta| \leq c|\mu|$ implies $|\beta| \geq (1 - c)|\mu|$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\psi \in B} \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} I_{1,p}(\mu) |\mu|^p &\leq D \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} |\mu|^p \sup_{\beta \in \Lambda} \sup_{|\mu - \beta| \leq c|\mu|} |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\beta)| \\ &\leq \frac{D}{(1 - c)^p} \sup_{\beta \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda} |\beta|^p |\widehat{\chi_p f}(\beta)| \\ &\leq DA \left(\frac{C}{1 - c} \right)^p N_p. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate $I_{2,p}(\mu)$. The boundedness of $(\chi_p)_p$ implies that there are $D', h > 0$ such that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\widehat{\chi_p f}(\xi)| \leq D' e^{M(|\xi|/h)}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since $|\mu - \beta| \leq (1 + c^{-1})|\beta|$ for $|\beta| \geq c|\mu|$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\psi \in B} \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} I_{2,p}(\mu) |\mu|^p &\leq D' \sup_{\psi \in B} \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} |\mu|^p \sum_{\substack{|\beta| \geq c|\mu| \\ \beta \in \Lambda}} e^{M(|\mu - \beta|/h)} |\widehat{\kappa_p \psi}(\beta)| \\ &\leq \frac{D'}{c^p} \sup_{\psi \in B} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} e^{M((1+c^{-1})|\beta|/h)} |\beta|^p |\widehat{\kappa_p \psi}(\beta)|. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Lemma 5.3, [17, Prop. 3.4], and the assumption (M.5). \square

Proof of Theorem 5.2. The implications (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) and (iv) \Rightarrow (i), (v) \Rightarrow (i), and (vi) \Rightarrow (v) are trivial. The proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is similar to the first part of that of [14, Lemma 8.4.4], so we omit it. Let us now show (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). For it, let I_Λ be a fundamental region for Λ with $0 \in I_\Lambda$ and let Γ_1 and $(\kappa_p)_p$ be as in Lemma 5.4. Choose an open conic neighborhood Γ_2 of ξ_0 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_2 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \cup \{0\}$. Fix $r > 0$ such that $\Gamma_2 \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |\xi| \geq r\} \subseteq (\Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda) + I_\Lambda$. Since I_Λ is bounded, there is $D > 0$ such that $|\mu + t|^p \leq D^p |\mu|^p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in I_\Lambda$ and $\mu \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$. Hence Lemma 5.4 and the fact that $B = \{e_{-t} \mid t \in I_\Lambda\}$ is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{E}^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_2, |\xi| \geq r} |\widehat{\kappa_p f}(\xi)| |\xi|^p &\leq \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} |\widehat{\kappa_p f}(\mu + t)| |\mu + t|^p \\ &\leq D^p \sup_{t \in I_\Lambda} \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Lambda} |\mathcal{F}(\kappa_p e_{-t} f)(\mu)| |\mu|^p \leq A(DC)^p N_p. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to establish (iv) \Rightarrow (vi) under the additional assumption that $(N_p)_p$ satisfies (M.3)'. So assume (iv) and let Γ_1 and c be as in the proof of Lemma 5.4. We use the auxiliary weight sequence $Q_p = \min_{0 \leq q \leq p} M_q N_{p-q}$, with associated function Q . A result by Roumieu ([17, Lemm. 3.5]) ensures that $(Q_p)_p$ satisfies (M.1) and that $Q = M + N$; thus [17, Prop. 3.4] implies that $(Q_p)_p$ also fulfills (M.2)'. Select any V_1 with $\bar{V}_1 \Subset V$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(Q_p)}(V)$ with $\varphi \equiv 1$ on V_1 . Furthermore, using the boundedness of $(f_p)_p$, find constants $D, h > 0$ such that

$$\|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^1} \leq D \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, p \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-M(|\xi|/h)} |\widehat{f_p}(\xi)| \leq D.$$

Set $R = \max\{h^{-1}(1 + c^{-1}), (cC)^{-1}(1 - c)\}$. Reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 5.4, we obtain, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|^p |\widehat{\varphi f}(\xi)| &= |\xi|^p |\widehat{\varphi f_p}(\xi)| \\ &\leq DA \left(\frac{C}{1 - c} \right)^p N_p + DN_p \int_{|\eta| > c|\xi|} e^{M(h^{-1}|\xi - \eta|)} \frac{|\eta|^p}{c^p N_p} |\widehat{\varphi}(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\leq D \left(\frac{C}{1 - c} \right)^p N_p \left(A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{M(h^{-1}(1+c^{-1})|\eta|) + N((cC)^{-1}(1-c)|\eta|)} |\widehat{\varphi}(\eta)| d\eta \right) \\ &\leq D \left(\frac{C}{1 - c} \right)^p N_p \left(A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{Q(R|\eta|)} |\widehat{\varphi}(\eta)| d\eta \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is finite because of [17, Prop. 3.4] and so $\sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_1} |\widehat{\varphi f}(\xi)| e^{N(r\xi)} < \infty$,

with $r = (1 - c)/C$. \square

We conclude the article with some remarks.

Remark 5.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}'}(\Omega)$ ($f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$). Then, the requirements on the sequence $(\chi_p)_p$ and the test function φ from Theorem 5.2 can be relaxed to: $(\chi_p)_p$ is an $\{M_p\}$ -analytic (analytic) cut-off sequence for x_0 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}(x_0 + U)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(x_0 + U)$). Furthermore, the properties of $(f_p)_p$ in condition (i) of Theorem 5.2 and in the definition of $\{N_p\}$ - and (N_p) -microregularity can be strengthened to: $(f_p)_p$ is bounded in $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}'}(x_0 + U)$ (in $\mathcal{E}'(x_0 + U)$) and $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}'}(\Omega)$ ($\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$), respectively. The proofs of these assertions are straightforward modifications of the arguments given in this section and are therefore left to the reader.

Remark 5.6. If the sequence $(M_p)_p$ additionally satisfies $(M.4)''$, then one might simply ask $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(x_0 + U)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}(x_0 + U)$ if $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}'}(\Omega)$) occurring in (v) from Theorem 5.2. In fact, all this is a just consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$ become inverse closed under $(M.4)''$. In particular, that is the case when $(M_p)_p$ fulfills $(M.3)$, as already pointed out in Subsection 2.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Albanese, D. Jornet, A. Oliaro, *Wave front sets for ultradistribution solutions of linear partial differential operators with coefficients in non-quasianalytic classes*, Math. Nachr. **285** (2012), 411–425.
- [2] A. Beurling, *Sur les intégrales de Fourier absolument convergentes et leur application à une transformation fonctionnelle*, in: IX Congr. Math. Scand., pp. 345–366, Helsingfors, 1938.
- [3] G. Björck, *Linear partial differential operators and generalized distributions*, Ark. Mat. **6** (1966), 351–407.
- [4] R. W. Braun, R. Meise, B. A. Taylor, *Ultradifferentiable functions and Fourier analysis*, Result. Math. **17** (1990), 206–237.
- [5] C. Brouder, N. V. Dang, F. Hélein, *A smooth introduction to the wavefront set*, J. Phys. A **47** (2014), 443001, 30 pp.
- [6] S. Coriasco, K. Johansson, J. Toft, *Local wave-front sets of Banach and Fréchet types, and pseudo-differential operators*, Monatsh. Math. **169** (2013), 285–316.
- [7] S. Coriasco, K. Johansson, J. Toft, *Global wave-front sets of Banach, Fréchet and modulation space types, and pseudo-differential operators*, J. Differential Equations **254** (2013), 3228–3258.
- [8] D. Dolićanin-Djekić, S. Maksimović, P. Sokoloski, *Wave fronts of ultradistributions via Fourier series coefficients*, Novi Sad J. Math, to appear.
- [9] C. Fernández, A. Galbis, D. Jornet, *Pseudodifferential operators of Beurling type and the wave front set*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (2008), 1153–1170.
- [10] I. Gelfand, D. Raikov, G. Shilov, *Commutative normed rings*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1964.
- [11] V. I. Gorbachuk, *Fourier series of periodic ultradistributions*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **34** (1982), 118–123.
- [12] E. Hille, R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1974.
- [13] L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [14] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [15] K. Johansson, S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Gabor pairs, and a discrete approach to wave-front sets*, Monatsh. Math. **166** (2012), 181–199.
- [16] K. Johansson, S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Micro-local analysis in some spaces of ultradistributions*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **92** (2012), 1–24.
- [17] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions I. Structure theorems and a characterization*, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **20** (1973), 25–105.

- [18] H. Komatsu, *Microlocal analysis in Gevrey classes and in complex domains*, in: Microlocal analysis and applications, pp. 161–236, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [19] S. Maksimović, S. Pilipović, P. Sokolowski, J. Vindas, *Wave fronts via Fourier series coefficients*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **97** (2015), 1–10.
- [20] H.-J. Petzsche, *Die Nuklearität der Ultradistributionsräume und der Satz vom Kern. I*, Manuscripta Math. **24** (1978), 133–171.
- [21] H.-J. Petzsche, *Approximation of ultradifferentiable functions by polynomials and entire functions*, Manuscripta Math. **48** (1984), 227–250.
- [22] H.-J. Petzsche, *On E. Borel's theorem*, Math. Ann. **282** (1988), 299–313.
- [23] H.-J. Petzsche, D. Vogt, *Almost analytic extension of ultradifferentiable functions and the boundary values of holomorphic functions*, Math. Ann. **267** (1984), 17–35.
- [24] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces: part II*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **1** (2010), 341–376.
- [25] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Micro-local analysis with Fourier-Lebesgue spaces. Part I*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **17** (2011), 374–407.
- [26] A. Rainer, G. Schindl, *Composition in ultradifferentiable classes*, Studia Math. **224** (2014), 97–131.
- [27] L. Rodino, *Linear partial differential operators in Gevrey spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1993.
- [28] L. Rodino, P. Wahlberg, *The Gabor wave front set*, Monatsh. Math. **173** (2014), 625–655.
- [29] W. Rudin, *Division in algebras of infinitely differentiable functions*, J. Math. Mech. **11** (1962), 797–809.
- [30] M. Ruzhansky, V. Turunen, *Quantization of pseudo-differential operators on the torus*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **16** (2010), 943–982.
- [31] M. Ruzhansky, V. Turunen, *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries. Background analysis and advanced topics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [32] A. H. Zemanian, *Distribution theory and transform analysis. An introduction to generalized functions, with applications*, Second edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281 GEBOUW S22, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM

E-mail address: `Andreas.Debrouwere@UGent.be`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281 GEBOUW S22, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM

E-mail address: `jvindas@cage.UGent.be`